# Open Source Storage Management in Linux

Matthew T. O'Keefe University of Minnesota and Sistina Software, Inc.



#### University of Minnesota

- Parallel Computer Systems Laboratory (PCSL)
- http://www.borg.umn.edu
- Two areas of expertise:
  - parallel simulation software and development environments
    - time-domain electromagnetics
    - fluid dynamics (in particular, ocean modeling)
  - storage management software
    - global file systems and volume management
    - secure file system
    - fibre channel and storage area networking

### Parallel Computer Systems Laboratory

- **15** undergraduates, 10 graduate students, 1 part-time staffer
- Funding sources include
  - federal agencies (ONR)
  - contracts with Companies (STK, Brocade) + equipment
  - annual sponsorship fees: sponsors include
    - Brocade
    - StorageTek
    - Seagate
    - EMC
    - SGI
    - Veritas Software



Parallel Computer Systems Laboratory

Laboratory goal and vision is very simple:

Write great software and give it away.

4/13/00

Linux Storage Management

### Parallel Computer Systems Laboratory

- Good things that fall out of this simple goal:
  - first and foremost, <u>educating the next generation</u> of computer system designers and architects
    - this requires years of implementation experience
    - students do real implementation work
  - <u>setting open industry standards</u> through high-quality open source software
    - global file systems
    - secure file systems
    - time-domain electromagnetics and fluid dynamics
    - storage area networking software



### Simulation and Storage

- Our parallel simulations generate huge amounts of data, which we need to post-process and archive
- We saw Linux and SANs as a solution to this problem, but we needed a cluster file system to do it right

## Linux Open Source Storage Technology

- Device drivers and interfaces
- Logical volume managers
- Software RAID
- Local File Systems
- Cluster File Systems
- Distributed File Systems
- Backup and Restore
- High Availability
- Note: I will only talk about open source code



#### **Device Drivers and Interfaces**

#### Parallel SCSI (Adaptec, etc.)

- Adaptec quote: "the drivers written by the Linux community for our SCSI cards are better than the drivers written by our own programmers"
- mid-layer needs work (error reporting and recovery)
- Fibre Channel
  - Qlogic FC adapters, Fabric and Loop support
- USB, Firewire, etc



#### Logical Volume Managers

- Logical Volume Manager (H. Mauelshagen)
- MD (Multiple Devices) Software RAID driver
- Pool Volume Manager for clusters of machines sharing disks

#### Software RAID

- MD Driver supports RAID O, 1, 3, 4, 5
- Recovers on disk failure
- Used by Linux community as a cheap form of RAID
- Inexpensive is VERY important to Linux developers
  - a big part of Linux's strength is that it is inexpensive
  - inexpensive and good enough usually wins out over expensive and full-featured in technology competition (unless there is no competition)

## Local File Systems

- ext2fs: traditional UNIX file system, very fast, unsafe
- ext3fs: journaled version of ext2fs, very fast, safe
- reiserfs: journaled, uses B-trees
- SGI's XFS: journaled, B-trees, delayed allocation being ported from IRIX



#### **Cluster File Systems**

- Global File System (GFS): allows machines on a storage network to share disks
- A SAN File System
- Symmetric, simple cluster membership services
- Beta release with journaling and recovery
- See full talk on Wednesday by K. Preslan



#### **Distributed File Systems**

- Both CIFS and NFS supported: Linux becoming popular with storage appliance vendors
- Samba (supports SMB clients, makes Linux look like an NT server)
  - better support for SMB than Microsoft's own server platforms
- NFS client and server support
- Coda from CMU
- Intermezzo: exciting new development from Peter Braam

## Backup and Restore

- Amanda (Advanced Maryland Automated Network Disk Archiver) program developed at U. Maryland
- Multiple UNIX clients can back up to single server which has the tape device
  - multiple clients write backup data in parallel (using dump or GNU tar) to a "holding disk"
  - backup images in the holding disk are aggregated to create a single large write stream to the Amanda tape device
  - use SAMBA to support Windows clients
- Limitations:
  - can't write multiple data streams to tape
  - can't write single dump image across multiple tapes

4/13/00

Linux Storage Management



### High Availability

- www.linux-ha.org
- Several on-going efforts
  - Tweediecluster like Vaxcluster only better
  - SGI's FailSafe being ported
  - Lots of application specific HA work (web serving)

4/13/00

## Linux and Storage Management

- Users who make the switch to Linux will benefit from the commoditization of storage management software
  - volume management, cluster file systems, HA
- These users will have access to source code, can maintain code themselves, modify it as needed for their own purposes



#### **Open Source Licenses**

#### Open Source definition

- no royalties, no warranties
- access to source code
- right to modify and re-distribute without restriction
- rights given to all, must not discriminate
- BSD License least restrictive
- GNU Public License access to source guaranteed
- Mozilla Public License special rights to originator

### Open Source Storage Management Software

- Use the Internet model for open source storage management software development
  - "rough consensus and running code"
  - open source ALL the way
    - Bind, Perl, \*BSD + Linux, Apache, TCP/IP stack
- Storage area networking software must be done the same way
  - simple protocols and shared source code (GFS, Pool VM)
  - global file systems and SAN device drivers must be developed in open source
    - to build a SAN infrastructure requires shared source code, just like the Internet

## Linux Origins

- Basically a hobby that got WAY out of control...
- Developed by Linus Torvalds and a looselyorganized band of hackers on the Internet
- Fastest growing enterprise OS by a wide margin
- Uses GPL (no royalties, access to source code rights is perpetuated)
  - no royalties is important to peace among developers
- Attacking desktop, servers, and embedded markets

## Linux Development Model

- Best explained by Eric Raymond in his paper "The Cathedral and the Bazaar": www.opensource.org
- Refutes Brooks' mythical man-month principle that adding more programmers makes the schedule later
  - debugging code in parallel is possible
  - writing loadable kernel modules in parallel is possible
- Raymond paper "Homesteading the Noosphere" describes hacker open source culture as similar to gift culture

#### Why Open Source Yields Better Systems

#### Better architectures

- very intense peer review
  - read the Linux kernel mailing lists: it is one long-running FLAME-FEST! (nothing personal, of course :)
- open source licenses encourage others to contribute
  - GPL versus BSD

#### Better code

- very intense peer review
- many alternative implementations
  - there are 4 journaled file system in Linux right now!
  - 3 network block drivers
  - multiple efforts to improve SCSI mid-layer
  - etc.

4/13/00

Linux Storage Management

## Why Open Source Yields Better Systems

#### Better Debugging

- very intense, parallel debugging effort (contrast closedversus open-source)
  - if your beta users have the source they can find the bugs for you
  - with Linux its not just the source code, but a very detailed archival record of how and why a particular piece of code was written the way it was, plus all those O'Reilly books :)
- "with enough eyeballs, all bugs are shallow"
- people are willing to use raw, pre-beta open source code in because
  - they aren't paying for it directly (paying with their time)
  - they become part of the development process, which makes for a very strong interaction with key early adopters

4/13/00

Linux Storage Management

## Why Open Source Yields Better Systems

#### Better software maintenance

- open source code does not go away when "the company" goes out of business
- usually, if it is an important and widely-used piece of code is no longer maintained by the original developer someone else will pick up the task of maintaining the code

#### - the software can be customized to fit the needs of the user

- very important to demanding users
- early adopters can help each other and share customization costs
- maintenance costs can be shared among many users



### **Open Source Economics**

- What happens to the value of a particular piece of software when the vendor developing it
  - goes out of business or
  - stops supporting the product?
- When maintenance and future support for software is dropped by vendor, the sale value of the software drops to zero
  - manufacturing versus service view of software

## **Open Source Economics**

- Linux business models
  - Linux software distribution (Red Hat, Caldera, Suse)
    - sell media, manual, brand recognition
  - Linux hardware OEMs (VA Linux, Alta Technology)
  - Linux support Linuxcare (KP-funded) just does generic Linux support
  - Server appliances (Cobalt Networks, many others)
  - <u>Sistina model</u>: we are an arms merchant we sell technology and services to users and suppliers
- Linux and the stock market
  - VA Linux, Red Hat, Cobalt have done well (maybe too well)

## **Open Source Economics**

- Excitement around Linux due to the chance it will become the standard software platform for servers and server appliances
  - open-source is the next wave in computing
  - the "next big thing" is usually a lot less expensive but less capable initially than the current "big thing"
  - but soon replaces the latter due to volume and huge infrastructure investments: feature equivalence quickly achieved
  - Innovator's Dilemma

#### Predictions

- By 2002 Linux will have completely eclipsed every other enterprise OS
  - all other closed-source UNIXen are already legacy systems (including Solaris, IRIX, AIX, Digital UNIX, HP-UX, and Windows NT)
- UNIX OEMs like HP, Sun, SGI will be overtaken by fast, nimble collection of Linux hardware and software vendors
  - their cost structures are fundamentally wrong: Linux model of shared development means the Linux cost structure is lower
  - Linux runs on all hardware platforms, which will result in fierce competition, lower costs, and huge price/performance advantages

#### Resources

- Linux Storage Management
  - http://www.globalfilesystem.org
- Press releases and general news on Linux
  - http://www.linuxtoday.com
- Real code and kernel mailing list
  - http://www.kernelnotes.org
- Gossip
  - http://www.slashdot.org