Perpendicular Recording A Future Technology or a Temporary Solution?

Dmitri Litvinov and Sakhrat Khizroev

Seagate Research 1251 Waterfront Place Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania



Outline

- Overview
- Superparamagnetic limit and the need for a new technology
- Dodging the Superparamagnetic limit ... The advantages of perpendicular recording?
- A new system component: soft underlayer challenges and design considerations
- Skew Angle Sensitivity
- Playback: new signal processing schemes
- New materials challenges
- How far perpendicular recording will take us and what will come next?

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 3



From RAMAC to Microdrive

4.4 MB





70 kbit/s IBM RAMAC 1955 2 kbits/in² 50x24" dia disks 32 Mbit/s IBM Microdrive 2001 15.2 Gbits/in² 1 x 1" dia disk

Microdrive

1 GB

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 4



Progress in Magnetic Data Storage



S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 5



Scaling: Primary Technology Approach



Longitudinal recording has been the underlying technology in the disk drive industry for the past several decades



Scaling: Smaller heads, thinner media, lower fly heights



Superparamagnetic limit

- Overview of magnetic recording
- □ Superparamagnetic limit and the need for a new technology
- Dodging the Superparamagnetic limit ... The advantages of perpendicular recording?
- A new system component: soft underlayer challenges and design considerations
- Skew Angle Sensitivity
- Playback: new signal processing schemes
- New materials challenges
- How far perpendicular recording will take us and what will come next?

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 8



Media Microstructure, Scaling, and SNR



- $SNR \sim log(N)$, N number of grains per bit
- While scaling, need to preserve number of grains per bit to preserve SNR
- Grain size is reduced for higher areal densities: $a \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{Areal Density}}$

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 9



Media Stability

Probability of magnetization reversal due to thermal fluctuations:

$$f_{\pm} = f_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta E_{\pm}}{k_B T}\right)$$
$$f_0 \sim 10^9 - 10^{12}, \Delta E_{\pm} \cong K_U V$$
$$K_U - \text{anisotropy energy}$$
$$V - \text{grain volume}$$



Thermally stable media:

$$\frac{K_U V}{k_B T} > 40 - 60$$

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 10



Superparamagnetism

$$\frac{K_U V}{k_B T} > 40 - 60 \Longrightarrow V \cong a^3 \ge \frac{60k_B T}{K_U}$$

$$a \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{Areal \ Density}} \ge a_{\min \max} \cong \sqrt[3]{\frac{60k_{\rm B}T}{K_{\rm U}}}$$

If *a*<*a*_{minimum}, medium becomes thermally unstable leading to severe deterioration of recorded data over time.

Approaches to avoid superparamagnetic instabilities:
Decrease a_{minimum} by increasing K_U
Increase a by decreasing the number of grains per bit



Media Writability Limit

Stable media:
$$\frac{K_U V}{k_B T} \sim 40-60 \implies K_U \sim \frac{1}{V} \text{ or } K_U \sim \frac{1}{a^3}$$

 $H_{write} > H_0 = \alpha \frac{2K_U}{M_S} - N_{eff} M_S \sim \frac{1}{a^3} \sim Areal Density^{3/2}$
Higher areal density media requires higher write fields III

Higher areal density media requires higher write fields !!! $H_{write} \sim M_S$ of the head material

Highest $4\pi M_S$ (=B_S) available today is ~26 kGauss (2.6Tesla)

In longitudinal recording, the highest write field possible to generate is $\sim 2\pi M_s$!!!



Additional challenges: Grain Size Distribution



Grains in polycrystalline media are not uniform in size. The grain sizes are lognormally distributed



□ Too small grains are thermally unstable ⇒ Unstable data
 □ Too large grains cannot be switched ⇒ Noise



Advantages of Perpendicular Recording

- Overview of magnetic recording
- Superparamagnetic limit and the need for a new technology
- Dodging the Superparamagnetic limit ... The advantages of perpendicular recording?
- A new system component: soft underlayer challenges and design considerations
- Skew Angle Sensitivity
- Playback: new signal processing schemes
- New materials challenges
- How far perpendicular recording will take us and what will come next?



Origins of Perpendicular Recording

1878: Magnetic Recording: Oberlin Smith





Longitudinal Recording

Perpendicular Recording

1960: G.Fan, *Ampex Corporation*1977: S. Iwasaki, Magnetic Disk Perpendicular Recording Demo

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 15



Closest Alternative Technology



S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 16



Perpendicular versus Longitudinal



Notice: Soft Underlayer (SUL) - a new system component

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 17



Soft Underlayer: Magnetic Imaging



Soft underlayer acts as a magnetic mirror:

Real head + Soft Underlayer = Real head + Image head

Recording layer is sandwitched between real and 'image' write poles - writing in the gap (in longitudinal recording writing is done with fringing fields)

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 18



Gap versus Fringing Field Writing



Image head

- □ In perpendicular recording the write process effectively occurs in the gap (Write Field < $4\pi M_S$)
- In longitudinal recording the write process is done with the fringing fields (Write Field < 2πM_S)



Write Field Comparison



- Twice as high write field amplitude: can write on higher anisotropy media ⇒ better thermal stability
- Substantially sharper field gradients: less sensitive to grain anisotropy distribution ⇒ sharper bit transitions ⇒ higher areal density

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 20



Well-Aligned Recording Layers

In a typical longitudinal recording layer the magnetic anisotropy axes of individual grains are randomly oriented in the plane of the film

In perpendicular recording layer the anisotropy axis is relatively well aligned (<2-4 degrees) perpendicular to the plane of the film

Substantially relaxes the requirements for write field gradients Can use thicker recording layer - better thermal stability !!! (increased V in K_{II}V/k_BT ratio)

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 21 10th NASA Goddard Conference on Mass Storage Systems and Technologies Adelphi, Maryland, April 15, 2002





oriented medium



Demag Fields at Bit Transitions



More stable magnet configuration

Demagnetizing fields destabilize recorded magnetization:

- Increased transition width
- Contribute to thermal instabilities



Perpendicular recording promotes higher areal densities !!!

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 22



Reduced Demag Field at high BAR



In contrast to longitudinal recording, in perpendicular recording higher bit aspect ratios (BAR) lead to reduce demagnetizing field - one of the major destabilizing factors leading to thermal instabilities



Enhanced Playback due to SUL



S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 24



Summary of Advantages

- Higher write field amplitude can use higher anisotropy media, better thermal stability
- Higher write field gradients and well aligned recording layers - thicker media, better thermal stability
- Zero demag at transitions sharp bit transitions, more stable recorded data
- Decrease of demag with areal density increase improved media stability at higher areal densities
- Higher playback amplitude improved playback performance at higher areal densities



Narrow track recording



	1um track	.2um track
D50 (kfci)	200	190
acsn (1bit/pw50, dB)	21	19.5
Overwrite (dB)	40	40

Bathtub curve



S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 26



Narrow Track Recording



Current "state-of-the-art" longitudinal recording is <100ktpi

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 27



Soft Underlayer Challenges

- Overview of magnetic recording
- Superparamagnetic limit and the need for a new technology
- Dodging the Superparamagnetic limit ... The advantages of perpendicular recording?
- A new system component: soft underlayer challenges and design considerations
- Skew Angle Sensitivity
- Playback: new signal processing schemes
- New materials challenges
- How far perpendicular recording will take us and what will come next?

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 28



Soft Underlayer as a Flux Conductor



 $div \mathbf{B} = 0 \Rightarrow$ Magnetic flux should be conserved

B into soft underlayer $\times A_{soft}$ underlayer effective $= B_{emanating from the pole tip} \times A_{ABS pole tip}$ In the limiting case, when the pole tip saturates (during writing): $4\pi M_{soft} \times A_{soft}$ underlayer effective $\ge 4\pi M_{s pole tip} \times A_{ABS pole tip}$

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 29



Soft Underlayer Moment



S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 30



Soft Underlayer Thickness



Both the write field amplitude and the write field gradient can deteriorate is too thin soft underlayer is used



Soft Underlayer and Playback Resolution



Soft underlayer introduces asymmetry into the playback system. If not designed properly, can deteriorate system's resolution

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 32



Soft Underlayer Micromagnetics



Page 33

Adelphi, Maryland, April 15, 2002



2t

Micromagnetics and Playback Resolution



Material	Hk	Ms	A x10E-6	Delta	Linear
	(Oe)	(kGauss)	(erg/cm)	(nm)	Density
			(*)		(kfci)
Permalloy	5	10	~1.0	112	226
FeAIN	15	20	~1.7	60	421
Ni45Fe55	<50	16	~1.5	34	737
CoFe	100	24	~2.0	23	1099



Soft Underlayer Noise

(not biased soft underlayer) Fields from Wall (Source of Noise) 0.15 0.1 0.05 Playback 0 0.000+00 5.00E-07 1.00E-06 1.50E-06 -0.05 Domain wall -0.1 N (source of "magnetic charges") -0.15

Time (s)

Ta/Permalloy/Pd/(Co/Pd)_N



Soft Underlayer Biasing



Skew Angle Sensitivity

- Overview of magnetic recording
- Superparamagnetic limit and the need for a new technology
- Dodging the Superparamagnetic limit ... The advantages of perpendicular recording?
- A new system component: soft underlayer challenges and design considerations

Skew Angle Sensitivity

- Playback: new signal processing schemes
- New materials challenges
- How far perpendicular recording will take us and what will come next?

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 37



Skew angle



Skew angle (±15 degrees)

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 38



Skew Angle Sensitivity



Narrow Gap Single Pole Heads



In a narrow gap single pole heads, the write field is reduced towards the leading edge, thus, minimizing the skew angle sensitivity

□ Can minimize the loss in track density from 25% to less than 5%



Perpendicular Playback

- Overview of magnetic recording
- Superparamagnetic limit and the need for a new technology
- Dodging the Superparamagnetic limit ... The advantages of perpendicular recording?
- A new system component: soft underlayer challenges and design considerations
- Skew Angle Sensitivity
- □ Playback: new signal processing schemes
- New materials challenges
- How far perpendicular recording will take us and what will come next?

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 41



Perpendicular vs. Longitudinal Playback



- If a conventional reader is used, the channel sees the playback signal of different shape
- Can differentiate, however, part of the information is lost





S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 42

Equivalent Perpendicular Reader

Conventional shielded reader



Materials Challenges

- Overview of magnetic recording
- Superparamagnetic limit and the need for a new technology
- Dodging the Superparamagnetic limit ... The advantages of perpendicular recording?
- A new system component: soft underlayer challenges and design considerations
- Skew Angle Sensitivity
- Playback: new signal processing schemes
- New materials challenges
- How far perpendicular recording will take us and what will come next?

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 44



Perpendicular Media Materials

<u>Overcoat</u>

STORAGE LAYER :

- High squareness
- Exchange de-coupling
- O Grain size control

Seed/Exchange de-coupling layer

SOFT UNDERLAYER :

- Efficiency of the recording system
- O Soft underlayer noise

Buffer layer

Substrate



Performance



10th NASA Goddard Conference on Mass Storage Systems and Technologies Adelphi, Maryland, April 15, 2002

Recording layers: Higher K_u Materials

Alloy System	Material	Anisotropy	Saturation Magnetization	Anisotropy Field	Minimum stable grain size
		K _u (10 ⁷ erg/cc)	M _s (emu/cc)	H _k (kOe)	a (nm)
	CoCrPtX	0.20	200-300	15-20	8-10
Co-alloy	Со	0.45	1400	6.4	8.0
	Co ₃ Pt	2.00	1100	36	4.8
	FePd	1.8	1100	33	5.0
L1 ₀ -phase	FePt	6.6-10	1140	116	2.8-3.3
	CoPt	4.9	800	123	3.6
	MnA	1.7	560	69	5.1
Rare Earth	Nd ₂ Fe ₁₄ B	4.6	1270	73	3.7
	SmCo ₅	11-20	910	240-400	2.2-2.7

Minimum thermally stable grain size: $a \cong 3\sqrt[3]{\frac{60 \cdot k_B^T}{K}}$

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 46



Microstructure of Recording layers









CoB/Pd multilayer on ITO





- Average column size ~
 20nm
- Randomly oriented



S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 47

Grain Size Distribution Control



S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 48



Magnetics of Recording Layers



Al/NiP/Ti(5nm)/CoCr₁₈Pt₁₀Ta₃(50nm); Hc=2.77 kOe

ITO(5nm)/(Co3/Pd10)x20; Hc=6.9kOe



O Typically S=Mr/Ms < 1 ⇒ Thermal stability? DC noise

- \bigcirc S=1 \Rightarrow No DC noise; Thermally stable
- Extremely thin ITO buffer is sufficient to promote high Hc
- By adjusting thicknesses of Co and Pd in a bi-layer structure can make films with Hc > 10,000 Oe

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 49



Roll-off Curves / Media Noise

CoCr-alloy recording layer / FeAIN/Ta/NiFe soft underlayer / Single pole head (CoB/Pd)_N on ITO recording layer / FeAIN/Ta/NiFe soft underlayer / Single pole head



Overwrite > 39dB

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 50



Future of Magnetic Recording

- Overview of magnetic recording
- Superparamagnetic limit and the need for a new technology
- Dodging the Superparamagnetic limit ... The advantages of perpendicular recording?
- A new system component: soft underlayer challenges and design considerations
- Skew Angle Sensitivity
- Playback: new signal processing schemes
- New materials challenges

How far perpendicular recording will take us and what will come next?

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 51



Perpendicular System at 1 Tbit/in² (NSIC)

- Superparamagnetic behavior is not avoided but delayed
- It is believed that ~1Tbit/in² is possible to achieve with perpendicular magnetic recording (~ 10x gain from longitudinal recording)

```
A 1Tbit/in<sup>2</sup> design:

Medium:

Perpendicular polycrystalline with SUL: Hc=12,000 Oe; Ms=6360 Gauss;

Thickness = 9nm; Grain-diameter: 8nm with \sigma=1nm

Read Head:

Read-width: 30 nm, Sensitivity:1 mV peak-peak; Resistance: 50 ohms

Write Head:

Write-width: = 37nm; Saturation: 4\piMs = 20,000 Gauss

Head/Disk Interface:

Magnetic Spacing: 6.5nm to top of medium; 1nm overcoat
```



What comes next?

Main driving force: to further delay the superparamagnetic limit



HAMR - Heat Assisted Magnetic Recording



~ 10Tbit/in² is conceivable with HAMR + polycrystalline medium (10x gain)

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 54



Different Approaches to HAMR

Far Field Light Delivery System:



Near field light delivery system defines track-width; magnetic head defines bit length:



Near Field Light Delivery System with Global Magnetic Field:



Near field light delivery system and magnetic head co-located to define bit and track:



S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 55



Patterned Media/Self-Ordered Magnetic Arrays





6.3 ± 0.3 nm FePt particles

Nanoparticle arrays – 9 "Tbit/in²"

Major challenge is finding low cost means of making media Above 50Tbit/in² is conceivable with HAMR + Patterned Medium (5x gain)

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 56

10th NASA Goddard Conference on Mass Storage Systems and Technologies Adelphi, Maryland, April 15, 2002



1989 2000

287

Moser, Science,

Future of Perpendicular Recording

It is believed that future generations of magnetic recording technologies are likely to be based on perpendicular recording due to advantageous nature of perpendicular recording with respect to high areal densities:

- higher write fields
- high trailing and side write field gradients
- well aligned medium
- absence of demagnetizing fields at bit transitions
- higher amplitude playback signal





Summary: Technology Options

Superparamagnetism - fundamental problem !

- 1. Shift to smaller grains without increasing Ho (~2x gain) 150 gbit/in²
 AFC media
 2. Enhance Write Efficiency (5-10x gain)
 - Perpendicular Magnetic Recording
- 3. Use smaller Grains&Deal with Write Field Problem (~10x gain)
 - Heat Assisted Magnetic Recording (HAMR)
- 4. Single Grain per Bit Recording combined with HAMR (~5x gain)
 - Self Ordered magnetic Array media (SOMA)

Ultimate Recording Density > 50 *Tbit/in² conceivable*

S. Khizroev, D. Litvinov Page 58 10th NASA Goddard Conference on Mass Storage Systems and Technologies Adelphi, Maryland, April 15, 2002



1 Thit/in²

10 *Thit/in***²**

50 Thit/in²