

Fibre Channel and IP SAN Integration Dr. Joseph L White (was Henry Yang) (My presentation is based on his conference paper) McDATA Corporation 4 McData Parkway, Broomfield CO 80021-5060 [3850 N. First Street, San Jose, CA 95134] +1-408-519-3744Joe.White@McDATA.com

NASA

NASA/IEEE MSST 2004 12th NASA Goddard/21st IEEE Conference on Mass Storage Systems & Technologies The Inn and Conference Center University of Maryland University College Adelphi MD USA April 13-16, 2004







# Why look at Converged SANs?

### • IT Professionals and Data Centers are under pressure:

- Return on Investment demands
- Explosive growth
  - of Data and Storage Systems
  - Information Processing Capacity
  - User Demand
- New Technologies

#### **SANs Must Become More Interconnected**



# **SAN Development**

### To Respond SANs

- Must Become
  - More Scalable
  - More Interconnected
- And Provide
  - More Partitioning
  - More Provisioning
  - More Elegant and Unified Management

# **SANs Requirements**

#### Technology pushed by mission critical applications

- Deployments have evolved and matured into multi-Terabit networks
- Critical to the Data Center

#### Demanding Requirements:

- High Throughput
- Low Latency
- Wide Scalability
- Robustness
- High Availability

#### Questions:

- What are the critical system level behaviors in this environment?
- How do these behaviors interact...
  - As SANs continue to scale?
  - With the introduction of IP Storage interconnects?

# **IP Storage Protocols**

#### Provide Reliable WAN Interconnect for FC

- FCIP
  - Fabric Level FC Extension
- *iFCP* 
  - Device Level FC Extension
- As an alternative to FC
  - iSCSI
    - Native IP Storage protocol
- Centralized discovery and notification service
  - iSNS
    - Provides a Name Service for IP Storage
- All Are TCP/IP based

### **IP SANs and IP Connected FC SANS**

#### • Performance Implications of

- Long Delays
- High Bandwidth
- LFNs
  - Both Long Delay and High Bandwidth
- Unreliable Networks

#### Protocol Tuning

- How can protocols be adjusted to improve performance
- These areas are critical to FC & IP SAN integration
- Several Case Studies will be covered
  - Actual Deployments
  - Academic Work



#### **Deployment Scenarios and Problems Solved Today**

07-APR-2004

### **SAN Extension**



#### • Joins Remote Fabrics into one large fabric

- Same Benefits and Issues as a single local FC
- Except for the distance of the extension links

#### Data Replication, Business Continuance, Branch Consolidation



#### **Business Continuance**

- Cost effective solution for regional offices, remote sites •
- WAN connectivity usually across medium to long latency and slower speed IP networks •
- Normally uses major replication applications •
  - EMC SRDF, MirrorView, SAN Copy HDS TrueCopy •
  - StorageTek / IBM FAStT RVM LSI Logic RVM
  - XIOtech REDI SANlinks

- •HP DRM
- Added features can provide higher throughput and lower telecom costs:
  - Compression, Fast Write, Tape Pipe, etc ۲

# **Full Internetworking: Provides Isolation but Allows Connectivity**



### **iSCSI** Complements FC to Expand SAN Market



#### Majority of iSCSI servers connect to FC storage thru '05

- Majority of iSCSI servers use GE NICs and iSCSI OS drivers
- Software iSCSI initiators offer attractive incremental costs for server attachment to SANs
- TOEs and iSCSI HBAs for hardware acceleration enhance performance mainly for native iSCSI SANs

#### **iSCSI:** The Distributed Data Center





#### **Characteristics and Operation**

# FC SAN Physical Components



# **FC SAN Physical Components**

#### • Directors

- High Port Density
- High Aggregate Bandwidth
- High Availability
- used in the core of the FC fabric

#### Switches

- smaller and less expensive
- used at edge of FC fabric

#### • Devices

- Servers & Workstations
- Storage Devices
  - Discs, Storage Arrays, Tapes
- Connects to the FC Fabric through a port on a switch or director
- Allowed connectivity is Any to Any
  - But effective connectivity is Some to Some

# **FC SAN Physical Components**

#### ISLs – Inter-Switch Links

- 1G→2G has occurred
- 4G and 10G on the way
- Can be extended over distance
  - Campus, MAN and WAN
  - Technologies: T1/T3, ATM, IP, SONET, dark fiber, DWDM
- Multiple redundant connections used within the fabric for High Availability

### • Each isolated FC Fabric is known as a "SAN Island"

- Deployments typically contain more than one SAN Island

# **FC SAN Logical Components**

### Fabric Initialization

- Parameter Exchange
- Principal Switch Selection
- Address Assignment
- Path Computation
- Zone Merge

### • FSPF (Fabric Shortest Path First)

- Used for Path Computation
- Link state protocol
- Computes shortest path for frame forwarding

# **FC SAN Logical Components**

### Name Service & State Change Notification

- Resource discovery
- Configuration
- Change management

# • FC Zoning

- Overlay onto network
- Limits the discovery time visibility of devices
- Controls connectivity
- A single device can be in multiple zones
  - allows for shared access to a single device

### **FC Zones and Services**

#### Simple FC example in very small fabric



# **FC SAN Logical Components**

### Scaling Problem

- On ISL State Change,
  - All logical components run
  - Fabric Reconfigurations
    - > Due to dynamic addressing & principal switch election requirements
    - > Halts all traffic through every switch in the Fibre Channel SAN fabric
- On Device up/down
  - Name Service and State Change Notification Service run
- As the Fabric grows
  - more and more resources are consumed
  - limits the size of SAN Islands
  - partially solved by deploying multiple SAN Islands
    - > but, this limits connectivity!

## **FC Traffic**

#### Most FC Traffic Uses

- SCSI-FCP
  - Request-Response Protocol running on top of FC
  - FC provides frame sequencing within transactions
  - Error recovery is at the transaction level
    - > Transactions time out and are retried
    - > Timeouts are preconfigured and not based upon actual RTT
- FC Class 3
  - Unacknowledged datagram service
  - No individual Frame Retransmission
- FCP is sensitive to frame loss and errors

# **FC Traffic**

READ Command



Requires one RTT plus any data transmission delay to complete the command

FCP\_CMD (68 bytes) FCP\_RSP (64 bytes) FCP\_DATA (payload size is up to 2048 bytes)

# **FC Traffic**

FC Write Command



Requires two RTTs plus any data transmission delay to complete the command

This fact becomes more important as the bandwidth delay product increases

```
FCP_CMD (68 bytes)
FCP_XFER_RDY (48 bytes)
FCP_RSP (64 bytes)
FCP_DATA (payload size is up to 2048 bytes)
                               07-APR-2004
```

#### **SAN Deployment Critical Factors**



# How do the demanding requirements placed on the SAN affect designs?

## **Critical Factors in SAN Deployments (1)**

# High Availability

- Requirements are several '9s' to 99.999% up time
  - Can have requirements for no down time
- Usually based on a dual-rail configuration
  - All components in the path are replicated
    - > Can be through redundant paths in a single fabric
    - > Can be through completely separate fabrics
    - > Key requirement is no single shared point of failure
  - Individual components are still highly available
- Director HA Features (and some switches)
  - Fully redundant, hot swappable field replaceable units (FRUs)
  - Hot software download and activation

# **High Availability**

- A good deployment has parallel paths available
  - For example, completely parallel networks fill this role
  - Dual ported end devices are also required



- A poor deployment has a single point of failure
  - For example if both paths routed through a single gateway in the above picture

# **Critical Factors in SAN Deployments (2)**

#### Robustness and Stability

- FC Fabric Build & Initialization Process
  - Heavy Weight mechanisms
    - > Disruptions in one part of the fabric affect the rest
  - Limits the effective FC Fabric size
- SCSI-FCP
  - Expects and needs low frame loss rates
    - > Some servers, HBAs, and storage devices are very sensitive
    - > Sensitivity extends to frame reordering
  - Error Recovery at the command and transaction level
    - > Time-out and retry mechanisms most widely deployed

### **Robustness and Stability (cont)**

#### Congestion Control

- Critical to ensure adequate aggregate bandwidth in designs
- FC Fabrics use link level credit based flow control
  - good for bursts resulting in short term congestion
  - Active queue management techniques (e.g. RED) not used
- Frames sitting in the Fabric for too long are discarded
  - typically <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> to 1 second
- FC moving to more speeds (4G and 10G)
  - creates additional challenges for network and switching architectures
- Comprehensive congestion management

# **Critical Factors in SAN Deployments (3)**

#### Performance

- best case latency is a few micro-seconds
  - Applies to most switches and directors
- latency grows with loading
  - Can be a significant on some switches
    - > At 70% link loading (Miercom Test Lab)
      - Product A : 5.2 us to 6.5 us range
      - Product B: 2.6 us to 2222.6 us range
    - > Longer latencies can have a significant performance impact
      - Not all switches are designed equal!
- Switching Architecture Issues
  - head-of-line blocking
  - internal throughput bandwidth
  - internal frame rate

## **Critical Factors in SAN Deployments (4)**

#### Distance Extension

- Needed for Business Continuance & Disaster Recovery
- Main Application Activities are
  - File/data Mirroring
  - Data Replication
  - Data Backup
- Has All of the SAN Island Requirements
  - The manner in which distance interacts with these is critical

# **Critical Factors in SAN Deployments (5)**

#### Scaling the SAN

- Most deployments are small, disconnected SAN Islands
  - Still a relatively new technology
  - Practical difficulties with management and stability of large FC Fabrics
  - Business and operational drivers only recently emerged
- Key Competing Factors in Scaling
  - Want global access between servers and devices
  - Need small stable FC fabrics
- Compromise by Internetworking the SAN Islands
- Benefits of Inter-networked Fabrics
  - Resource Sharing (e.g. Backup Tape Library)
  - Dynamic Reassignment of critical resources
  - But, local fabrics can be kept isolated



![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### FCIP, iFCP, iSCSI, iSNS

07-APR-2004

### **IP Storage Common Features**

- All IP Storage Protocols leverage the maturity of IP networking
  - TCP/IP for connectivity
    - Connection Oriented
    - Guaranteed Delivery
    - Congestion Control
    - IP Networks are well understood
    - but... there are issues with the use TCP
  - Inherently allows for usage of IPSec
    - Authentication
    - Integrity
    - Privacy

#### **iFCP & FCIP Common Encapsulation**

- FC frames from local FC devices are encapsulated with a protocol specific header
  - Conforms to the common encapsulation header format from the IETF FC Frame Encapsulation draft standard
  - The encapsulated frames are then sent across the IP network on the protocol appropriate TCP connection

 The frames are de-encapsulated at the remote gateway and sent to the remote FC device through the remote FC Fabric

|   | 3 3 2 2 2 2<br>1 0 9 8 7 6 | 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1<br>3 2 1 0 9 8 7 6 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 3     3     2     2     2     2     2     2     2     1 |               |             |   |                   |                                   |     |               |
|---|----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|---------------|-------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|---------------|
| 0 | PROTOCOL                   |                                    | VERSION  | -PROTOCOL   | -VERSION      | PROTOCOL    |   | VERSION -PROTOCOL |                                   |     | -VERSION      |
| 1 |                            | copy of                            | word 0   | RESERVED (MUST BE 0)  |               |             |   |                   |                                   |     |               |
| 2 | pFlags                     |                                    | reserved   | ~pFlags   | ~reserved     | LS_COMMAN   | D | FLAGS             | SOF                               | EOF |               |
| 3 | ENCAP FLAGS                |                                    | FRAME LENGTH   | -ENCAP FLAGS  | -FRAME LENGTH | ENCAP FLAGS |   | FRAME LENGTH      | ME LENGTH -ENCAP FLAGS -FRAME LEN |     | -FRAME LENGTH |
| 4 |                            | TIME STAM                          | P (INTEGER)  | TIME STAMP (INTEGER)  |               |             |   |                   |                                   |     |               |
| 5 |                            | TIME STAMP                         | P (FRACTION)   | TIME STAMP (FRACTION)   |               |             |   |                   |                                   |     |               |
| 6 |                            | iFCP HEA                           | DER CRC  | iFCP HEADER CRC   |               |             |   |                   |                                   |     |               |
|   |                            |                                    | FCIP   | Header  | ,             | iFCP Header |   |                   |                                   |     |               |

07-APR-2004
#### **iFCP & FCIP Common Encapsulation**



#### FCIP

• IETF Standards Track Specification as part of the IP Storage Working Group

- Connects local FC Regions together over an IP Network into a single unified FC Fabric
- FC frames are transparently encapsulated and tunneled across the IP Network to the other fabric
  - Sends all FC ISL traffic across the tunnel
- FCIP appears to be a long latency E-Port to the FC Fabric
  - The connection is implemented through FCIP endpoints providing either B-Port or E-Port interfaces into the local FC fabric and using the FCIP protocol itself to connect across the IP Network (FC-BB-2 specification)

## **FCIP Advantages and Disadvantages**

- Biggest advantage is transparency to Fabric
  - Existing Fabric Tools and Services are used
  - FSPF, Fabric Initialization, Name Service, State Change Notification Service all run transparently
- Must understand
  - Design and management of congestion and overload conditions
  - Tunnel queuing design
    - > to avoid head-of-line blocking of fabric initialization protocol frames when congestion does occur
    - > to avoid congestion collapse due to command timeout
  - These concerns are less important in a small fabric

## **FCIP Connection Example**

- All FC sessions are tunneled across the FCIP TCP Connection
- The two local FC fabrics act as a unified FC SAN Island
- This provides for transparent WAN interconnection at the cost of fault propagation



## **FCIP WAN Disruption**

- Original Fabric Segments into two pieces
- Each piece reconfigures into a new SAN Island



- IETF Standards Track Specification as part of the IP Storage Working Group
- iFCP is an FC region interconnect protocol that provides:
  - FC device communication across an arbitrary IP network using TCP/IP
  - Fault isolation between the autonomous FC regions
  - Each device pair has a separate TCP connection
  - Each FC region maintains its own Name Server
  - iFCP operates between gateways in each FC region.

#### **iFCP Session Example**

• Each of the sessions runs on its own TCP connection



#### **iFCP**

#### • iFCP Gateways:

- Are transparent to FC frame traffic
  - Manage each session's state
  - Acts as a local proxy for the remote device
- Provide fabric services for remote devices into the local fabric
- Intercept and filter local fabric services traffic to the remote FC region
- Addressing Modes
  - Translate local FC addresses to/from IP addresses and TCP port numbers
  - Use shared addresses for the connected FC SAN Islands (faults are still isolated)
- Set the policy for FC device export/import

## **iFCP WAN Disruption**

 Remote Devices are deregistered generating SCNs to each local fabric





#### • iSCSI is a Native IP Storage Block Level Protocol

- Designed for use directly by end devices
- Commands still conform to T10 SCSI specifications
- Uses TCP as its Network transport
- Uses IPSec for Security
- Not an FC encapsulation protocol

#### iSCSI

### iSCSI Protocol Features

- Multiple TCP connections for a single session
- CRC digests for header and data
- TCP connection failure recovery options
- Out of Order data placement
- Supported Options Negotiated on Session Creation

## **iSCSI** Options

A wide variety of negotiable Options exist including:

- Security Parameters
- Large PDU
- Store & Forward
- Header & Data Digests
- Target Read Padding
- Target Write Padding
- NOP-In PDU transmit
- Immediate Data Allowed
- Authentication Support

- Initial R2T
- Multiple R2T
- Asynchronous Messages
- Multiple TCP Connections per Login Session
- SNACK
- Error Recovery Level
- and more...

## iSCSI

#### Command and Data Flow

- Almost the same as FCP command and data flow



### iSCSI also provides for unsolicited write data



## iSCSI

#### FC – iSCSI Gateway

- An FC session is established between the gateway and the FC device
- An iSCSI session is established between the gateway and the iSCSI Device
- The gateway acts as a proxy of the appropriate protocol into each network
- performs
  - session level protocol translations
  - command and payload forwarding
  - Device import, export, and mapping
    - > (protocol appropriate name service registrations)
  - login and session creation
- must maintain
  - Transparency
  - Data Integrity
  - Throughput
  - I/O Rate
  - Sufficient Sessions
    - > Storage Consolidation



- internet Storage Name Service
- > IETF standards track in final review to be ratified soon after iSCSI
- Centralized database for IP Storage device discovery
  - Supports both iSCSI and iFCP
- Filtered asynchronous notification of state changes
- Scoped query support
- Controlled directly through the iSNS Protocol or indirectly through SNMP
- TCP/IP used for client connections
  - UDP support is optional
- Extensible object and attribute scheme

## **iSNS** Object Model



- iSNS Objects match the iSCSI object model
- For iFCP, the objects are their iSCSI equivalents
- The Network Entity implies a physical grouping of nodes, portals, and FC ports
- Within an Entity any portal can be used to access any Node or FC Port
- Discovery Domains (DD) and DD Sets are the iSNS analog to Zones and Zone Sets and allow logical groupings for discovery purposes

#### **iSNS - Discovery Domain Example**



- iSNS allows end devices to determine who they may be able to communicate with through the use of Discovery Domains (DD).
  - A DD is created and populated with devices by a control node
  - A device can be in multiple DD's at the same time
  - With asynchronous State Change Notifications (SCN's), devices can be informed of any change in a DD they are in.
- Initiator A can find out about Target X by sending a query to the iSNS Server. Since X is in the same DD as Initiator A, Initiator A will be informed about the registered device information for Target X. Initiator A can use this information to login to X.
- The iSNS Server will not inform Initiator A about Target Y, Initiator B, or Initiator C.
- If Target Y were subsequently put into the DD, Initiator A would receive an SCN event informing it that Target Y had been added.

## iSNS in a heterogenous environment



- One iSNS Server per network/SAN.
- One current SNS instance per local SAN island (1 switch to a few switches).
- A synchronization and translation task in each local SAN takes care of registering "external devices" in the local SNS and local devices in the central iSNS.
- Registrations use the appropriate addressing and gateway view for the database being used.
- To the iSNS server and data base, each local SAN island looks like a multi-portal and multistorage node iSCSI device with native iSNS clients and devices.
- To the SNS, external iSCSI devices look like locally attached mFCP devices.





What are the difficulties in converging the SAN? What are some solutions to those difficulties?

07-APR-2004

## **TCP Performance**

## Ongoing TCP Research in a variety of areas

#### – Operation in High Speed, Long Latency Networks

- SACK (Selective Acknowledgement)
- ECN (Explicit Congestion Notification)
- Eifel Detection Algorithm
- HSTCP (High Speed TCP)

## TCP for SAN

- use of large receive windows for distance connections
- multi-connection IP Storage Sessions
- buffer-MTU-MSS tuning
- TCP offload engines
  - plus other iSCSI driver specific enhancements
- Additional efforts exist for Ethernet and FC directly over SONET-based transports

## **SDSC: GRID Computing IP SAN**



#### Sustained Aggregate Performance: ~717 MBps iFCP from San Diego to Baltimore Fall 2002

07-APR-2004

### San Diego Supercomputer Center

- Test was part of the 2002 Supercomputing Conference
- Total distance was 2600 miles
- RTT was 70 to 90 ms
- FC traffic using iFCP gateways to interconnect SANs
  - interconnect was a 10 Gb/s
- Aggregate Sustained Performance of 717 MB/s
  - Read throughput was 740 MB/s
  - 8 x 1G IP Gateways used in parallel
    - about 90MB/s sustained throughput per gateway

## **Remote Mirroring**



• The remote copy synchronizes the data copied between each LUN pair

- Ensures data coherency within the mirror group
- Each LUN pair is allowed 1 outstanding command
- Command must complete before data is committed

## **Remote Mirroring**



Effect of Network Delay on Synchronization Throughput

- The throughput in this configuration fell off rapidly with latency
- Parameters affecting throughput
  - file and write command transfer size
  - Command type
    - (write commands take 2\*RTT to complete)
  - number of outstanding commands
    - The bandwidth delay product must be filled for max throughput
  - In a low bandwidth environment compression is critical

## **Delay and Cache Effect on I/O Workload**

- StarFish:
- Test the delay and cache sensitivity of a server
  - PostMark used as test program
  - Large E-Mail server attached to Storage Elements (SE)



## **Delay and Cache Effect on I/O Workload**

### Observations:

- As delay increases the transaction rate supported by the server decreases.
- As the server cache increases the transaction rate increases.
- Writes are not helped by the cache in their architecture so the distance affects writes more.
  - The Q1 curves are to the local SE (with varying latency)
  - The Q2 curves also involve a second 'near' SE with little latency. This improves the write performance.
- Observations are not surprising but several places exist for performance improvements
- Application performance sensitivity wrt delay and error recovery is a complex area that needs further?work and understanding

## Long Fast Network Experiment – U. of Tokyo

- iperf run between Maryland and Tokyo
- Produced the counterintuitive result that Fast Ethernet is "faster" than Gigabit Ethernet in some cases
- Conclusion is that transmission rate limiting is important in sustained TCP throughput across lower speed non-flow controlled networks

## Long Fast Network Experiment – U. of Tokyo



#### TCP factors contributing to slower than expected performance

- The rate at which the congestion window ramps is determined by the RTT
  - This acts a limit on the transmission rate since only one congestion window worth of data can be in flight per RTT
- When missing frames can't be recovered with fast retransmission, the connection will eventually time those frames out and drop back into slow start
  - In slow start the congestion window is reset and must grow again
  - This timeout value is at least 1 second and can be longer if the RTT is large enough



- A method to improve write performance in a long delay network
- Reduces the number of RTTs needed to complete a write command from 2 to 1
  - In other words, this makes the effective network latency look like it has been cut in half for write commands
- Not a command completion spoofing algorithm
  - The FCP\_RSP still flows from the target back to the initiator

## **Fast Write**

#### Write Command Latency

- Increases by one additional RTT (Network Round Trip Time) per XFER\_RDY by the target
- At least 2\*RTT (a read command would only be one RTT)



## **Fast Write**

#### • Fast Write Reduces Write Command Latency to 1 RTT

- Timing is now similar to a read command



#### • Reduces the Effective Link Latency by 50% For Write Commands

- Better Performance Over High Latency Link
- 50% reduction assumes a Single XFER\_RDY Issued by Target
- Effective Latency Reduced Even More if Target Issues Multiple XFER\_RDY for a Command

#### **Fast Write**



#### **Conclusions and Questions**



## Conclusion

#### • IP and FC SAN integration can work well

- Even in the face of the stringent SAN requirements
- Proven in real world deployments
- More integration is inevitable
- Challenges still exist for many converged and IP Storage deployment scenarios
  - This is especially true of long distance and high speed connections
  - Lots of different work exists or is underway to solve the problems
  - Can't ignore FC SAN requirements
- Despite the challenges integrated and converged deployments are very promising with a large amount of practical value

#### **Questions and Discussion**

# Questions?