

## Using XQuery and XML for Data Management in HPSS MSST 2011



#### IBM

## Agenda

- **Data Management Challenges**
- History of Data Management in HPSS
- **Considered Architectures**
- **Chosen Architecture**
- Results
- **Future Work**



#### Data Management Challenges

- How can we manage content for a facility with billions of files over decades?
  - What do these files contain?
  - How can we use their contents?
  - Are these files still needed?
- Information gleaned from a hierarchical file system structure is not sufficient for locating likefiles in most circumstances.
  - Information is only sliced one way, when perhaps you'd like to see it organized based upon a different characteristic.
- Applications need a flexible space to store their own file metadata.



### History of Data Management in HPSS

- HPSS is a hierarchical storage management solution capable of managing petabytes of data across disk and tape.
- HPSS stores file metadata within a DB2 database.
- HPSS has many components but the "Core Server" component handles Namespace and Bitfile operations. User metadata is handled by the namespace component.



- Prior to HPSS 7.3, the comment field was the only place to store user metadata for files.
- The comment field could be up to 1024 bytes of unorganized text per file.
- The comment field was not indexed, and not searchable.
- This rarely met the data management requirements of customer sites if they had them.



## **Considered Architectures**



Single Attribute Per Table



**Multiple Attribute Per Table** 

- Single table for every attribute using SQL types
- Provided maximum resource flexibility and per table indexing for fast searching.
- Increased administration burden intervention required for any new attribute name.
- Attribute listing could require joining hundreds or thousands of tables.
- One table containing all attributes, all attributes are stored as strings
- Simplified resource allocation and administrative burden.
- Easy attribute listing
- Poor indexing options
- Complicated query interface
- Complex or non-standard validation mechanism
- Difficult to manage attribute relationships and groups
  © 2011 IBM Corporation



## **Chosen Architecture**



- Single XML table per file, stores attributes as XML.
- Shares most of the positive characteristics of the multiple attribute table.
- XQuery interface for searching, XML Schema Definition for attribute or attribute value validation
- Optimized search capability with XML indexing
- Hierarchical organization and attribute duplication allows for better organization and relationship identification
- XML is verbose
  - Mitigated with compression
- Attribute listing is somewhat programmatically more difficult than the multiple attribute per table method

Example

Say you want to store information about a checksum associated with a file.

The attributes may look like:

/hpss/example/checksum/type =

/hpss/example/checksum/hash = b96d3aa0bd29a4e95b3b77049553fff3

/hpss/example/checksum/time =

1306418968



md5



#### Results





## IBI

## Results





•Retrieve small attributes



#### Results



#### IBM

#### Results

## Compression

System	Files	Compress
GHI Development System	16 million	68%
PNNL Production System	22 million	70%
HPSS System Test	100,000	70%
Benchmark System	320,000	71%



#### **Current and Future Work**

Current

- HPSS client application developers have plans to use UDAs
- GPFS / HPSS Interface (GHI) uses to track deletions and migrations across GPFS backups and reclaim space
- On demand File-based Checksum storage and verification
- Automated File-based Checksum over VFS

Future

- Present a unified view of system and user file attributes.
- Present an alternative to POSIX for navigating the namespace.
- Options for Automated or Hook-based metadata updates.



# **Questions?**