# Warped Mirrors for Flash

### **Yiying Zhang**

Andrea C. Arpaci-Dusseau Remzi H. Arpaci-Dusseau













# Flash-based SSDs in Storage Systems

- Using commercial SSDs in storage layer
  - Good performance
  - Easy to use
  - Relatively cheap
- Usage
  - MySpace, Facebook, Amazon, etc.
  - All-flash storage, e.g., Pure Storage

#### What about reliability?

### **Flash-based SSD Reliability**

- Flash wears out with erases
  - More writes => more erases
  - FTL and wear leveling help

- One way to improve SSD reliability
- Redundancy or RAID

# Assume failure independence

#### What About Flash-based Array?



### WaM - Warped Mirrors for Flash

• Write more to one SSD to induce earlier failure



#### • Focus on mirrors (RAID1)

### WaM Benefits

- Reliability achieved by failure separation
- Configurable
  - Approximated model + correcting method
- Low monetary cost
  - 1-2 cents per hour for mirrors using WaM
    47-94% of fixed-time replacement every one year
- Small performance overhead
   10% more resp time for 52hr-159day separation

# Outline

Introduction

• WaM design and model

• Evaluation results

# **Basic Solution - Adding Dummy Writes**



# **Failure Separation Interval**

- FSI: window for detection and reconstruction
  - Set by administrator at initialization time
  - Can be adjusted
- Choosing FSI
  - Long enough for recovery
  - Short to avoid high performance cost

How many dummy writes to add given an FSI?

# Challenges

- Subverting FTL
  - No knowledge of underlying FTL
- Achieving near-perfect FSI
   FSI cannot be shorter than target (reliability)
   Performance overhead should be minimized

# WaM Model

- Model based on
  - Target FSI length
  - SSD properties
  - Workload properties
- Goal
  - Find dummy write percentage for a target FSI

### WaM Model – Dummy Write Percentage

Ratio of erases between two mirrored SSDs

$$R_{erase} = \frac{N_{erases}^{early}}{N_{erases}^{late}} \xrightarrow{\text{Number of erases issued by SSD}_{early}}$$

Dummy write percentage P<sub>dummy</sub>

$$R_{erase} = 1 + P_{dummy}$$
$$P_{dummy} = R_{erase} - 1$$

### WaM Model – Num Erases Remaining



#### WaM Model – Num Erases during Time



#### WaM Model – Final Steps

$$N_{remaining}^{late} = N_{erase}^{perblock} (FSI)$$





 $P_{dummy} = R_{erase} - 1$ 

# **Assumptions and Limitations**

- Device parameters
  - From device vendor or detect with tool
- Workload changes
  Adjust model as workloads change
- Imperfect or no wear leveling
- Incorrect SSD lifetime

Violations: FSI too short or too long

#### **Achieving Target FSI N** *T* late *remaining*\_*target* $R_{delay}$ • If FSI too short $\mathcal{M}^{late}$ remaining \_actual Delay writes to the surviving SSD **SSD**<sub>early</sub> Write Write **SSD**<sub>late</sub> Target FSI If FSI too long

- Performance cost
- Adjust in future WaM modeling

### Recovery

- When the first SSD (SSD<sub>early</sub>) fails
  - Replace with a new SSD
  - Reconstruct the data
- Replacing the second SSD (SSD<sub>late</sub>)
  - At the same time when first SSD fails (no reliability risk, slightly higher cost)
  - When it fails (higher reliability risk, slightly low cost)

# Outline

Introduction

• WaM design and model

• Evaluation results

# **Evaluation Environment**

- Simulation based on Disksim + SSD extension
- A mirror pair of two 80GB SSDs
- Workloads
  - Microbenchmark
  - Macrobenchmark
  - Trace
  - No idle time

### Can Failures Be Separated with Dummy Writes? And How?



Failures can be separated with dummy writes More dummy writes -> longer separation Wear leveling homogenize workloads

## What Is the Performance Overhead?



#### More dummy writes -> worse performance

# **Can the Correct FSI Be Achieved?**

Sequential workload



# **Can the Correct FSI Be Achieved?**

Random workload



#### WaM model can be inaccurate

Target FSI can be delivered with delaying

#### How about Real Workloads? - FSI



FSI and dummy write relationship as expected

#### Larger FSI with read-intensive workloads

Dummy Write Percentage (%)

#### How about Real Workloads? - Performance



Higher overhead with write-intensive workloads Performance overhead is small for typical FSI

# What is the Monetary Cost?

- WaM: cost of SSD + sys-admin check each FSI interval
- Fixed replacement: replace SSD after one year



#### WaM costs lower than fixed-time replacement

# **Summary of Results**

- Failures are separated with desired FSI
- Model is approximated
- Achieves desired FSI with delaying
- Small performance overhead
- Low monetary cost

# Outline

Introduction

• WaM design and model

• Evaluation results

- Correlated failure of flash-based RAID
- Separate failures by carefully adding dummy writes and delaying writes
- Other techniques for failure separation
  - Wear our one SSD to some extent before using
  - Stagger SSDs with different ages in a RAID
  - Vendor control when SSDs in RAID fail

- Applying existing solutions directly to new devices may not work
- WaM is a simple solution to guarantee failure separation and pushes aggressive use of SSDs
- Other techniques may work well
- WaM model can be useful

# Thank You Questions?





http://research.cs.wisc.edu/adsl